# Public Comment and Opinion Review

## **Oregonians Support Protecting Wolves**

There is overwhelming support from Oregonians to keep wolves protected. Listed below is a comprehensive report, detailing public comments submitted and independent polling results.

#### **Total number of public comments submitted between October 2014 and October 2015:**

- 96% of 10,671 comments submitted to and published by the ODFW Commission have been in favor of wolf conservation.
- Conservation organizations have submitted additional 24,467 comments in favor of wolf conservation and maintaining protections that are not included above including:
  - Oregon Wild members 3,227 petition signatures, 378 e-mails to Governor Brown, 2,253 e-mails to the legislature, 1,483 e-mails to federal representatives, 1,592 e-mails to Governor Kitzhaber, and 2,413 emails to the ODFW Commission
  - Cascadia Wildlands members 432 e-mails
  - Center for Biological Diversity 2,361 e-mails
  - BARK 206 e-mails and 300 petition signatures
  - Endangered Species Coalition 473 petition signatures, 457 emails to the ODFW Commission
  - Wild Earth Guardians 7,004 comments
  - Western Environmental Law Center 274 petition signatures
  - Forcechange petition 1,614 signatures
- An overwhelming majority of testimony in front of the commission has been in favor of maintaining protections but has not been well documented.

#### **Polling Results**

#### ❖ 2015 Poll: Over 60% support for continued state ESA protections across all demographics

Mason-Dixon Polling & Research, Inc. an independent research agency conducted a poll commissioned by Oregon Wild in the spring of 2015. Oregonians supported continuing state ESA protections for wolves across every demographic. Statewide support was at 66%. 60% of rural Oregonians and 64% of Republicans supported continued state ESA protection.

#### ❖ 2013 Poll: Overwhelming majorities support wolf conservation, protections, and recovery

Conducted in early September, 2013 for Defenders of Wildlife by Tulchin Research, shows that most Californians, Oregonians and Washingtonians want wolf recovery efforts to continue:

- More than two-thirds in each state agree that wolves are a vital part of the America's wilderness and natural heritage and should be protected in their state (OR 68%; WA 75%; CA 83%)
- More than two-thirds in each state agree that wolves play an important role in maintaining deer and elk populations, bringing a healthier balance to ecosystems (OR 69%; WA 74%; CA 73%)
- At least two-thirds in each state support restoring wolves to suitable habitat in their states (OR 66%; WA 71%; CA 69%)
- Large majorities in each state agree that wolves should continue to be protected under the Endangered Species Act until they are fully recovered (OR 63%; WA 72%; CA 80%)

#### ❖ 2011 & 2015 Poll: Eastern Oregonians support moderate positions on wolves

In 2011 and 2015, the University of New Hampshire's Carsey School of Public Policy polled residents in Baker, Union, and Wallowa County on their views of wolves. A distinct and decreasing minority (33% and 27%) supported the elimination of wolves. While moderate views increased in a statistically significant manner.

#### **❖** 1999 Poll − 70% support return of wolves to Oregon

A 1999 poll of Oregonians cited by ODFW in the 2005 Wolf Conservation Plan (page 6) showed 70 percent support wolves returning to the state.

#### **2010 Plan Review Comments**

Over 90% of 20,000 public comments submitted during the 2010 Wolf Plan Review favored stronger protections for wolves



WASHINGTON, DC - 202-548-2680

JACKSONVILLE, FL - 904-261-2444

WWW.MASON-DIXON.COM

#### **HOW THE POLL WAS CONDUCTED**

This poll was conducted by Mason-Dixon Polling & Research, Inc. of Jacksonville, Florida from May 26 through May 28, 2015. A total of 625 registered Oregon voters were interviewed statewide by telephone.

Those interviewed on land-lines were selected by the random variation of the last four digits of telephone numbers. A cross-section of exchanges was utilized in order to ensure an accurate reflection of the state. Those interviewed on cell phones were selected from a list of working cell phone numbers. Quotas were assigned to reflect voter registration by county.

The margin for error, according to standards customarily used by statisticians, is no more than ±4 percentage points. This means that there is a 95 percent probability that the "true" figure would fall within that range if all voters were surveyed. The margin for error is higher for any subgroup, such as a gender or regional grouping.

QUESTION: There are currently 77 known gray wolves in the state of Oregon that are protected under the state's endangered species act. Some are seeking to remove these protections and make it easier to kill a wolf. Do you support or oppose keeping current protections for Oregon's gray wolves?

	<b>SUPPORT</b>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	66%	30%	4%
REGION	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	72%	25%	3%
Willamette Valley	65%	30%	5%
Rural Oregon	60%	36%	4%
SEX	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Men	61%	31%	8%
Women	70%	29%	1%
AGE	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
18-34	71%	26%	3%
35-49	68%	27%	5%
50-64	65%	30%	5%
65+	60%	37%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	74%	25%	1%
Republican	64%	30%	6%
Independent	59%	35%	6%

### **DEMOGRAPHICS**

#### **PARTY REGISTRATION:**

	Democrat Republican Independent or Other	243 (39%) 190 (30%) 192 (31%)
AGE:	18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ Refused	122 (20%) 157 (25%) 181 (29%) 160 (25%) 5 (1%)
SEX:	Male Female	308 (49%) 317 (51%)
REGION:	Portland Metro Willamette Valley Rural Oregon	275 (44%) 165 (26%) 185 (30%)



#### **September 12, 2013**

To: Interested Parties

From: Ben Tulchin and Ben Krompak, Tulchin Research

Re: New Poll Finds Strong Support for Wolf Protection in Western States

Tulchin Research recently conducted a survey on issues relating to the protection and restoration of wolves in California, Oregon, and Washington State. We interviewed 500 registered voters in California, 300 voters in Oregon, and 300 voters in Washington. Our research finds overwhelming majorities of voters in all three states are supportive of efforts to restore wolves to suitable habitat in the region and believe that wolves should continue to be protected under the Endangered Species Act until they are fully recovered.

#### **Voters Overwhelmingly Favor Wolf Restoration**

We asked voters about their attitudes toward restoring wolves to the region and found strong support for these efforts in all three states. Asked whether they would support or oppose restoring wolves to suitable habitat in their state, 69 percent of California voters say they support this as do 66 percent of voters in Oregon and 71 percent of Washington State voters.

"Do you support or oppose restoring wolves to suitable habitat in your state?"									
California Voters Oregon Voters Washington Voters									
Total Support	69%	66%	71%						
Total Oppose	15%	23%	17%						
Undecided	15%	11%	12%						

Support for wolf restoration is both broad and deep and extends across the political spectrum, with sizable majorities of Democrats, Republicans, and Independents in all three states favoring the restoration of wolves. Restoration is also supported by wide majorities of both men and women and among voters both under and over age 55.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS  "Do you support or oppose restoring wolves to suitable habitat in your state?"											
		BY PARTY	3	1	IDER	AG					
	Democrats	Republicans	Independents	Men	Women	Age 18-54	Age 55+				
Support	74%	58%	69%	75%	65%						
Oppose	12%	25%	11%	14%	16%	12%	20%				
Undecided	14%	18%	15%	16%	14%	14%	15%				

"Do yo	OREGON VOTERS  "Do you support or oppose restoring wolves to suitable habitat in your state?"											
		BY PARTY		GEN	IDER	AG	E					
	Democrats	Age 18-54	Age 55+									
Support	82%	51%	61%	61%	72%	70%	63%					
Oppose	11%	40%	21%	27%	19%	20%	25%					
Undecided	8%	9%	18%	12%	10%	10%	12%					

"Do yo	WASHINGTON VOTERS  "Do you support or oppose restoring wolves to suitable habitat in your state?"											
		BY PARTY		GEN	IDER	AG	E					
	Democrats	Republicans	Independents	Men	Women	Age 18-54	Age 55+					
Support	82%											
Oppose	11%	21%	17%	19%	15%	16%	18%					
Undecided	8%	18%	9%	12%	12%	9%	15%					

#### **Voters Support Continued Endangered Species Act Protection for Wolves**

With the federal government proposing to remove wolves from the Endangered Species list and end the protections that go along with that, we asked voters their opinions about the matter. By wide margins, voters in all three states believe that "wolves should continue to be protected under the Endangered Species Act until they are fully recovered." Eight in ten California voters (80 percent) agreed with the statement, as did 72 percent of voters in Washington and 63 percent of Oregon voters.

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement:  Wolves should continue to be protected under the Endangered Species Act until they are fully recovered.									
California Voters Oregon Voters Voters									
Total Agree	80%	63%	72%						
Total Disagree	13%	32%	22%						
Don't Know/No Answer	7%	5%	6%						

## Voters See Wolves as Part of our Natural Heritage, Recognize Role in Maintaining Healthy Deer and Elk Populations

Voters broadly believe that "wolves should be protected in our state" as they are "a vital part of America's wilderness and natural heritage," including 83 percent of California voters, 68 percent of Oregon voters, and 75 percent of Washington voters agreeing with this statement. Additionally, strong majorities of voters in all three states agree that "wolves play an important role in maintaining health deer and elk populations" and thus "restoring wolves to forests and wilderness areas in our state will bring a healthier balance to our ecosystem." This view is held by 73 percent of California voters, 69 percent of Oregon voters, and 74 percent of Washington voters.

"Now I'm going to read you a few statements about policies toward wolves. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement."									
	Califor	nia Voters	Orego	n Voters	Washington Voters				
	Total Agree	Total Disagree	Total Agree	Total Disagree	Total Agree	Total Disagree			
Wolves should be protected in our state. Wolves are a vital part of America's wilderness and natural heritage.	83%	11%	68%	26%	75%	20%			
Wolves play an important role in maintaining healthy deer and elk populations. Restoring wolves to forests and wilderness areas in our state will bring a healthier balance to our ecosystem.	73%	15%	69%	23%	74%	19%			

#### **California Voters Support Protections for Wolves Crossing Over from Other States**

In California, we specifically asked voters about policy regarding wolves who cross over into the Golden State from other states. Nearly eight in ten California voters (79 percent) agree that "we should take steps to protect wolves who cross over into California and ensure they reach appropriate habitat."

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement: We should take steps to protect wolves who cross over into California and ensure they reach appropriate habitat.							
Total Agree	79%						
Total Disagree	14%						
Don't Know/No Answer	7%						

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, this poll demonstrates that voters in California, Oregon, and Washington strongly support restoring wolves to suitable habitat in their states and believe that wolves should continue to be protected under the Endangered Species Act until they are fully recovered.

<u>Survey Methodology:</u> From September 4-8, 2013, Tulchin Research conducted a telephone survey among 500 registered voters in California, 300 registered voters in Oregon, and 300 registered voters in Washington. The margin of error for this survey is +/- 5.66 percentage points among voters in Oregon and Washington. The margin of error among California voters is +/- 4.38 percentage points.



#### **Endangered Species Act Summary**

#### Methodology

This study was commissioned by the Endangered Species Coalition and conducted by Harris Interactive, using the Harris Poll National Quorum<sup>®</sup>. A total of 1,009 telephone surveys were conducted among adults aged 18 and over within the United States between February 16<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Figures for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, region, number of adults in the household, and number of phone lines in the household were weighted where necessary to bring them into line with their actual proportions in the population.

In this summary, statistical testing was conducted between regions and between party ID. Uppercase letters indicate significant differences between the subgroups at the 95% confidence level.

#### **Summary of Findings**

- Overall, there is strong support for the Endangered Species Act (84%), with Democrats having the strongest support (93%).
- Most Americans believe the ESA is a safety net providing balanced solutions to save wildlife, plants and fish that are at risk of extinction (64%), with Democrats the most likely to believe this (76%).
  - While the majority of Republicans also believe the ESA is a safety net (49%), they are more likely than those who support other parts to believe the ESA is used by environmentalists and their lawyers to hinder growth and progress (43%).
- The majority of Americans believe decisions about whether to remove the Endangered Species Act's protections should be based on science, not politics (63%).
- The majority of Americans agree that:
  - Decisions about wildlife management and which animals needs protection should be made by scientists, not politicians (92%);
  - The ESA has helped hundreds of species recover from the brink of extinction (90%);
  - The gray wolf is a vital part of America's wilderness and natural heritage (87%);
  - The ESA is a successful safety net for protecting wildlife, plants, and fish from extinction (87%); and,
  - The ongoing recovery of gray wolves in the Northern Rockies could be one of America's greatest wildlife success stories if the Endangered Species Act is kept in place until the states have science-based management plans approved (78%).



#### **Detailed Findings**

1. As you may know, the Endangered Species Act is an environmental law established to protect all wildlife, plants and fish that are in danger of extinction. Based on what you know, would you say that you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the Endangered Species Act?

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly support	44%	44%	46%	45%	42%	31%	58% <sup>EG</sup>	41%
Somewhat support	40%	41%	39%	40%	39%	42%	35%	44%
Somewhat oppose	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	14% <sup>F</sup>	1%	<b>7</b> % <sup>F</sup>
Strongly oppose	6%	6%	4%	6%	7%	9%	4%	4%
Don't know/ refused	3%	2%	3%	1%	6% <sup>c</sup>	3%	2%	4%
Support (T2B)	84%	85%	85%	85%	80%	74%	93% <sup>EG</sup>	85% <sup>E</sup>
Oppose (B2B)	13%	12%	11%	14%	14%	23% <sup>FG</sup>	6%	11%



2. Some people say the Endangered Species Act has been used by environmentalists and their lawyers to hinder economic development, while others say it is a safety net providing balanced solutions to save wildlife, plants and fish that are at risk of extinction. Which is closer to your point of view?

			Regio	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
The ESA is a safety net providing balanced solutions to save wildlife, plants and fish that are at risk of extinction	64%	57%	70% <sup>A</sup>	68%	60%	49%	76% <sup>EG</sup>	63% <sup>E</sup>
The ESA is used by environmentalists and their lawyers in the western United States to hinder growth and progress	26%	33% <sup>B</sup>	20%	24%	29%	43% <sup>FG</sup>	17%	27% <sup>F</sup>
Don't know/Refused	10%	11%	10%	7%	11%	9%	7%	10%



3. Some members of Congress are proposing legislation to remove the gray wolf from the Endangered Species Act's protections. Which of the following points of view is closest to your own?

Some/others say the gray wolf isn't endangered anymore and protection under the endangered species act is no longer needed. They say that since environmentalists' lawsuits and the federal courts are interfering with sound wolf management, the Congress has no choice but to turn wolf management decisions over to the states. In this view it is believed states are better equipped than the federal government to manage their own wildlife, and wolf numbers are now high enough to sustain a hunt.

Some/others say that decisions about whether to remove the Endangered Species Act's protections should be based on science, not politics. Gray wolves should continue to receive federal protection until they are fully recovered and the states have implemented effective, science-based management plans that will protect gray wolves at sustainable levels for generations to come.

			Regi	on			Party ID		
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)	
Decisions about whether to remove the Endangered Species Act's protections should be based on science, not politics	63%	61%	65%	65%	62%	54%	70% <sup>E</sup>	64%	
The gray wolf isn't endangered anymore and protection under the endangered species act is no longer needed	29%	33%	26%	29%	31%	39% <sup>F</sup>	24%	29%	
Don't know/Refused	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	



- 4. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.
- a. The gray wolf is a vital part of America's wilderness and natural heritage

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly agree	50%	50%	40%	53% <sup>B</sup>	53%	36%	62% <sup>EG</sup>	45%
Somewhat agree	37%	40% <sup>D</sup>	50% <sup>CD</sup>	33%	26%	42% <sup>F</sup>	30%	42% <sup>F</sup>
Somewhat disagree	6%	3%	6%	7%	8%	10% <sup>F</sup>	2%	7% <sup>F</sup>
Strongly disagree	4%	4%	1%	2%	8% <sup>BC</sup>	8% <sup>FG</sup>	2%	3%
Don't know/ refused	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%
Agree (T2B)	87%	90% <sup>D</sup>	90% <sup>□</sup>	86%	80%	78%	92% <sup>E</sup>	87% <sup>E</sup>
Disagree (B2B)	10%	7%	8%	9%	16% <sup>A</sup>	18% <sup>FG</sup>	4%	10%



# b. The Endangered Species Act is a successful safety net for protecting wildlife, plants and fish from extinction

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly agree	48%	52%	46%	45%	50%	35%	62% <sup>EG</sup>	43%
Somewhat agree	39%	34%	42%	42%	37%	42% <sup>F</sup>	29%	47% <sup>F</sup>
Somewhat disagree	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%	11% <sup>FG</sup>	2%	4%
Strongly disagree	5%	4%	3%	6%	6%	9%	5%	4%
Don't know/ refused	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Agree (T2B)	87%	86%	88%	86%	87%	77%	90% <sup>E</sup>	90% <sup>E</sup>
Disagree (B2B)	10%	11%	9%	10%	11%	20% <sup>FG</sup>	7%	8%



c. The Endangered Species Act has helped hundreds of species recover from the brink of extinction, such as the bald eagle, the gray whale, the Florida panther and gray wolves in the Northern Rockies

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly agree	55%	48%	53%	57%	59%	47%	65% <sup>EG</sup>	53%
Somewhat agree	35%	39%	37%	33%	31%	40%	30%	37%
Somewhat disagree	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	6% <sup>F</sup>	<1%	4% <sup>F</sup>
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%
Don't know/ refused	4%	6% <sup>D</sup>	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	4%
Agree (T2B)	90%	88%	90%	90%	91%	87%	94% <sup>E</sup>	90%
Disagree (B2B)	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	10% <sup>F</sup>	3%	6%



d. Decisions about wildlife management and which animals need protection should be made by scientists, not politicians

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly agree	71%	68%	72%	73%	72%	59%	82% <sup>EG</sup>	69%
Somewhat agree	21%	22%	20%	21%	19%	29% <sup>F</sup>	12%	24% <sup>F</sup>
Somewhat disagree	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%
Strongly disagree	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%	5%	2%	1%
Don't know/ refused	2%	3%	2%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	2%
Agree (T2B)	92%	90%	92%	94%	92%	88%	95%	93%
Disagree (B2B)	6%	7%	6%	5%	8%	10%	5%	5%



e. The ongoing recovery of gray wolves in the Northern Rockies could be one of America's greatest wildlife success stories if the Endangered Species Act is kept in place until the states have science-based management plans approved

			Regi	on			Party ID	
	Total	North- East (A)	Midwest (B)	South (C)	West (D)	Total GOP (E)	Total DEM (F)	Total IND (G)
Strongly agree	37%	32%	30%	44% <sup>B</sup>	41%	24%	51% <sup>EG</sup>	35% <sup>E</sup>
Somewhat agree	40%	46% <sup>D</sup>	49% <sup>D</sup>	37%	33%	43%	36%	41%
Somewhat disagree	10%	9%	10%	8%	13%	16% <sup>F</sup>	4%	12% <sup>F</sup>
Strongly disagree	5%	5%	3%	4%	9%	9%	4%	4%
Don't know/ refused	7%	8%	9%	8%	4%	8%	6%	7%
Agree (T2B)	78%	78%	78%	80%	73%	67%	86% <sup>EG</sup>	77%
Disagree (B2B)	15%	14%	13%	12%	23% <sup>c</sup>	25% <sup>F</sup>	8%	17% <sup>F</sup>

#### Blue Mountain Wolf Poll Results

On April 6 - 8, 1999, the polling firm of Davis & Hibbitts conducted a telephone poll of 600 registered Oregon voters. Questions focused on the possible return of wolves to Oregon. The results have an error rate of +/- 4%.

Below are highlights of the r xll results:

- 61% of those surveyed had heard or read about a gray wolf crossing into Oregon from Ideias.
- In all, 70% of survey respondent favored the recovery of wolves in Oregon, either through
  active reintroduction by wildlife geneies or by allowing wolves entering Oregon from other
  states to remain here.
- 57% of respondents felt that wild wolves should be allowed to stay in Oregon when they return here on their own; 13% believed that wild wolves should be actively reintroduced into Oregon; only 23% felt that wolves should not be allowed in Oregon at all.
- On a region-by-region basis, there was little variance among those favoring active wolf reintroduction in Oregon:

Metropolitan Portland = 14% Willamette Valley = 12% North Coast = 11% Southwestern Oregon = 13% Eastern Oregon = 13%

 On a region-by-region basis, there was also surprisingly little variance among those agreeing that wolves who enter Oregon on their own should be allowed to remain here.

Metropolitan Portland = 60% Willamette Valley = 56% North Coast = 61% Southwestern Oregon = 54% Eastern Oregon = 45%

- Two-thirds (66%) of those surveyed felt that the best reason to support the actum of wild
  wolves to Oregon is, "We owe it to future generations to leave the most complete ecosystem
  possible, including predator species like wolves."
- When told that the non-profit conservation organization Defenders of Wildlife will compensate ranchers for any livestock losses caused by wild wolves, 66% of those polled said they would favor having wild wolves in Oregon.

For more information, please contact the Oregon Natural Desert Association at (503) 525-0193 or glyons@onda.org.

In April 1999 the firm Davis & Hibbitts completed a public opinion poll demonstrating that the majority of Oregonians support wolves in Oregon. Over 600 people participated in the poll, representing all regions of the state and a diversity of ages and political persuasions. The poll was conducted not long after a wild gray wolf from Idaho, known as B-45, had migrated into Oregon and was subsequently captured and returned to Idaho.

"Have you heard or read anything recently about a wolf that crossed over from Idaho into eastern
 Oregon?"

Yesa61% No: 38% Don't know: 1%

"Which one of the following comes closer to your point of view?"

Wildlife officials made the right decision to capture the wolf and return it to Idaho: 40%. The wolf should have been left alone and allowed to continue to roam freely: 48%. Don't know: 12%

 "Which one of the following options do you think is best when it comes to having wild wolves in Origon?"

Wild wolves should be actively reintroduced in Oregon by having wildlife agencies transplant them into the state: 13%

Wild wolves should be allowed to stay in Oregon when they return to Oregon on their own: 57% Wild wolves should not be allowed in Oregon, and if they do come into the state, they should be removed: 23%

Don't Know: 7%

歌水 大連り

- "Rate the following reason [for allowing wolves to stay in Oregon]:"
  - "Elk and Deer Populations would be healthier and stronger, because wolves prey on older and weaker animals."

Very Good: 14% Good: 45% Poor: 23% Very Poor: 8% Don't Know: 10%

 "Wolves belong here because they were part of Oregon's ecosystem for thousands of years before being exterminated. They belong here so that we can have the most natural and complete ecosystem possible."

Very Good: 19% Good: 43% Poor: 22% Very Poor: 8% Don't Knew: 9%

"Wolves have a right to exist in Oregon, even if they occasionally prey on livestock."

Very Good: 11% Good: 46% Very Poor 12% Don't Know 6%

 "We owe it to future generations in Oregon to leave the most complete ecosystem possible, including predator species like wolves."

Very Good: 21% Good: 45% Poor: 20% Very Poor: 8% Don't Know 6%

"Even though I might never see a wolf, it would be nice to know wolves are living in Oregon."

Very Good: 16% Good: 43% Poor: 25% Very Poor 11% Don't Know: 5%

"Wolves would increase tourism in areas of Oregon where they exist."

Very Good: 4% Good: 17% Poor: 43% Very Poor: 26% Don't Know: 11%

"If wild wolves are allowed in Oregon, should livestock ranchers be compensated for any loss they have because of wolf predation?"

Yes: 53% No: 36% Don't Know: 12%

 "If you knew that Defenders of Wildlife, a private, non-profit organization, will compensate ranchers for any livestock losses caused by wild wolves, would you favor or oppose having wild wolves in Oregon?"

Total Favor: 62% Total Oppose: 32% Don't Know: 6%

	,		AGE		GENT	GENDER	ł	PAREY		ARRA		REA		
	TOTAL	18-34	18-34 35-54 55+	\$2	MALE	FR	X .	Ker	OTHER/ OONE	COUNTY	WILLA METTE VALLEY	COAST	SECTE- MAST	43
TOTAL	100%	1000	205 106%	300	1004	1004	266	221	1115	258	1004	1001	.00%	9001
TRE-COURTY	258	24	413	138	126	132	225	364	25	258		. ' '	5. 5.	1.1
STRANSTER VALLEY	27.62	368	338	3.5	27%	271	73	288	208	1 '	162		ų.	
NORTH COAST	22	. ,	175	200	25	25	27.5	25	2,3	1 2	• •	36		,1
SOCTEMBES	148	~ã	22,52	168	<del>1</del> 2	75	33	35	32			, ,	1004	1.1
EAS?	3			37	55	E 5	22	200	515					050

Table 0.15

Q:1. AREA

BN MOLP - NPRIL 1999 INC.

	CHECC	
965	PROPERTY TO A STATE BASTURIN	1000
	2	
	TDAKO	
	è	
	8	
	CHOSSED	
	HOULE THAT	
	AROUT' A	
	RECEDEN	
C.	R YOU SEARCH OR READ ARYTHING RECEIVEN	
	200	
	Ű	
	CHENCO	
	DCX	
	M	
	10	

ļ.				- 1				ų.					
		200				8.0	1008		66	904	21	125	í
		STATE-	MESS.			**	1008		× 1			584	
1000	KEA -	MOATH	COMPST			92	100%		6	23	\$2 11	424	C.
•		WILLS-	M877E	VALLET	:	162	1003		6	809	63	366	.74
		100	OTNER/ COUNTY NETTE COAST			95.0	1034		176	\$ 200	91	316	
		IMD/	OTNER/	MONE		111	1001		S	25.5	53	473	
-	CANE .	XXX				122	1004		200	6.3	6	364	
		ş			the state with a second	366	100%		166	2	96	361	*
	SEMPER		SMALE PE-	SCALE.	į		100			200		170	М
	SEM		SALE		2							324	-
			15 C				100					298	~
	AGE		35-54		1		100					46%	1
			18-34 35-54 55+	-			553		35	478	39	523	6 1 1 4 3 3 4 1
				TOTAL		009	100:		365	618	229	304	
						150000	15:50		YES		CAR		WOME T' BOCK

288 321 2755

FAX NO.

92. NHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING COMES CLOSEN TO YOUR POINT OF VIEW? A "NIMBLIFE OFFICIALS MADE WIE FIGHT DECISION TO CAPTORE THE WORF AND EXT. TO IDAGO, OR B-THE WORF SECULD HAVE BEEN LEPT ALONG AND ALLAND TO CONTINUE TO BOME PREBLY.

Table 3023.

1.80	30.0	Co. W	-	-
EAST	90	100	043.	124
SOUTH WEST	1001	무를	5042	11.
NORMI	36	398	50%	75
METTE	162	418	445	24
PRIC	258	374	524	55
IND/ PRI- OTBER/ COUNT	113	334	\$23	17
PARTY		801	30	25
530		358	138 528	22
PE-		128	139	38
MALLE	294	381	508	35
\$5	334	150	125	33
ACE 18-34 3J-76 55+	1005	3348	536	22
18-34	75	288	638	- \$
TOTAL	1001	241	265	123
		5	2	36
	IO:M	STATEXGRE	STATEMENT	9000 G1900

INVIS A R. BELTING. IN

Cable 00633.

72EY								
WCL/VES			4.					
		KAST	1900	965	125	326	* 5	96
DEER POPULATIONS NOWLD BK HEAVISTER AND STECHERS, DECRUSE		WEST.	10001	71	142	235	5	148
SCHOOLS		COAST	3000	n &	398	435	11.1	, ,
AND ST	*	METTS VALLEY	362	121	55	223	107	98
A) TSTER		COCHITY	358	174	123	134	5: 49 Al 48	3.5
D BK NE		OTHER/	1004	164	87.6	22	a. S	65.7
200	PARTY	ASSE	221	104	53	358	er er	104
TIONS		Š	266	45	127	262	23	328
OPITA	SR.	W.F. FF.	306	30	145	238	12.5	÷5
EER F	CENTER	SPATE	296	35	4357	233	23	.15
200		15	314	138	356	264	127	135
× 100	30%	32-54	205	178	900	292	œş	ះថ
REASON		13-34	75	5.5	46	79	~=	25
NATION OF THE FOLLOWING READON: SLX		POTAL	1309	148	459	234	78	10%
X HE				-	C1	ď.	4	
90.0	ì,							
RATIDA				goop.			FOOR	
8			TOTAL	VERY	0000	NOOM	VERY	DK/3W

: 208 321 8755

QAC. RATING OF THE POLLORING NEARON: MOLVES HAVE A RIGHT TO RAIST IN ORBESTY, WITH IF THEY OCCASIONALLY PHEY ON LIVERINGS.

Table 3040A

2000 2000 3000 3000 110	34 118 24
\$ \$5 °C 85 85	5 2
5 55 °C 35 86 95	5 2
2007-1-2008-1-200-	<u></u>
NORTH B	-
1621. 18 24 25 42 66 42 6 42 6 42 6 42 6 42 6 42	^€
181- Country 258 1038 2 35 128 228 228 228 228	12
1ND/ VTHER NONE 1150% 14% 56 51% 24 24 21%	n. &
1221 1064 1321 1321 1321 1332 1332 1348	25
266 136 138 138 138 138 258 258 258 258	40
206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206	-1 Kg
294 306 1034 1009 1034 1009 144 494 69 239 274 28 34 28 34 28 34	16
22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	619
205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205	2.6
18-34 1000 1340 1340 1341 1341 1341 1341	~ 5
278 278 464 278 278 278 278	22
TOTAL VERY BOOD GOOD POOR VERY POOR	ALV XC

38 WOLF APRIL 19

Q4D, MATING OF THE FOLLOWING HEARSON, ME OWE IT TO PUTURE CENERATIONS IN ORBOCH TO LEAVE THE MOST COMPLETE SCORYSTEM POSSIBLE, INCLUDING PRECATOR

Cable 904DA

			19 <sup>£</sup>	<i>?</i> ·					
		1,		W					
		XXS	į	1001	15.9	404	264	328	٣
		KES.		1001	175	85	138		v
	SEA	CONST		3005	Ť	16	35	**	-
		WILLIA- KETTE VACLEY	:	162	204	388	28	28	1
		COMPA		258 1064	55	122	1643	22	n,
		CHESA CHESA		113 1604	228	498	395	28	-1
	PARTY	Š.	1	221	32	102	53	950	16
		Š.	-	-	265	25	350	40	10
SPECIES LIKE WOLVES.	3	WLB FB-	i	306	28	142	35.5	6.5	35
IKE	GENDER	MALE PE-	į	294	248	355	P. S.	160	7
128		35+	:	314	50	126	253	22	7
SPEC	Well	18-34 35-54 55+		1008	258	76	3,8	25	4
		16-34	1	75	22	55.5	.2	ng.	-
		10231		1004	235	268 456	122	95	36
					1	7	w.	7	
					0000			FOOR	
				TOTAL	VSRV	goon	FOOR	VESCY	DE/784

DA WOLF - APREL 1999 DAVIS & RIBBITTS, INC.

CAR. BATING OF THE FOLLOWING REASON: BYEN THOUGH I MIGHT NEVER SEE A MOLF, IT WOULD BE MICE TO THOM MOLVES AME LIVING IN ORBOM. Table Octea

					,		
		- 2					
ZAST		1008	44	26	328	134	ės
SOUTH- 2			i.	SALE PLAN	234	17	äş
MORSH COAST	1	163	2	24.5	254	13.4	~ 6
KILLA- HETTE VALLEY	1	100	138	413	202	144	-0 est (M)
TR1- COUNTY		000	221	110	35 213	25	35
IND/ STREEK/		1004	194	434	238	124	44
PAKTT		1001	25	85	328	533	25
200	1 3	1004	265	555	202	258	150 450
PE- PR- PALE	3	30	35	100	78	528	22
GENERAL NALE PE- PAL	1	100	198	414	59	33	25
ż	1	100	134	385	288	154	ลีร์
AGE 18-34, 35-54	1	100%	88	47.0	20,00	212	υţ
38-34	! !	1306	258	100	15	4.5	242
WOTAL.		1004	38	258	258	113	ក់ព័
	,			es	•	7	
127							
			0000			POOR	
		KIO	VERY 6000	0000	7008	VIRY FOOR	DK/21A

DR MOLF - APRIL 1999 -

EX1ST.	1
THEST	
MARKE	
03/3608	
ARREAS OF	
ä	
TOURIBE	
INCREMBE	9
300%	
MOLVES	
REASON:	
POSLEOM THO	
188	
Ö	
NATIAN	
Š	

					AGE		OPPORTS			PARTY	8 1		7	HEA	800	4 11 11	
				18-34 35-54	4	554	NALE VE-		ž	REP	MD/ THER/	COUNTY	METTE	COAST	COAST WEST	EAS:	
		01	TOTAL		-	į			1		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1	VALLEY			ì	-
TOTAL		-	1606	200	205	1003	294	30%	300	221	11.5	1008	162	1004	1634	10%	
CCOO AREA	0000	-	145	n.K	75	9.5	#13	wĸ	35	28	74	. 22	2,3	-2	75	1 1	
Socia		N	174	216	138	.546	53	15%	302	23	178	257	23	13.7	258	12 208	
PO05.		m	258	364	85 418	55	123	135	394	105	85	106	424	25	\$87	404	
7827	POOR	4	264	248	275	273	78 27%	268	500	257	34.33	355	324	- 5	4.C.	284	
CK/NS			3	9	7	Tr.	27	36	30	23	œ	58	16	THE S	11	r=	

DAYIS & SIBBLOTS,

	. "
ď	
5	
TOOL	
8	
뀰	
î	
3	
3	
ਨੇ	
è	ć
3	
BECAUST	
je i	
ŝ	
-	
THEY	
P	
530	
1.4	
ANN	
es.	
ğ	
£	
Ę	
65	
2	
菱	
80	
m	
88	
罴	
ž	
*	
装	
6	
Ä	
2	
9	
3	
磊	
ď	
SBOOM,	
8	
2	
H	
Ħ	
3	
2	
WEE	
Z	
23	
-5	
율	
3	
×	
-	
,	
ŝ	

Table 365A

MONTH SOUTH BAST	NES'I	26 2 902 7803 26 2 902 7803	475 674 674		9 6 7
MILLA- NO	WALLEY VALLEY	162	525	376	17
191		258	136	.18 6.00 E	31
CRI	OTHER!	1034	58.5	39%	133
PARTY		1000	564	33%	26
1	;	266	136	36%	7
DESK	NACE .	306	15.5	346	Ť
REGORGE	M.	294	558	37%	27
	Š.	314	174 55%		Α;
ACE	35-54	205	629	42.4	
	18-34	1001	36	23	'n
	TOTAL	1001	315	364	2
		TOTAL	TKS	340	EDM: TWOM

EAVIS & SIRBITUS, INC.

Table 307A

o''. AGE

	TOTAL	76-87	AGE 35-34	199	CEMBER MALE FE-	ER FEE	REA	REP	IND/ OTHER/ NONE	TRT-	MILLA- METTE VALLEY	NORTH S	SOUZH-	RAST	
	1004	2	1038	314	294	306	266	1008	113	256	162	103	84 190%		
8 . 34	75	75 103%	, ,		36	37	88	133	23	33	27	1.3	6.4	104	
. 54	34%	) t	205	E 1	365	333	323	328	448	50 er 60 er . er	(18	33%	33,5	274	
5 ON OLDER	528	1 1	1 1	314	505	348	595	534	34.9	138	55	67%	509	57	Ŷ,
CBSDA	13.5	1 1		1 1	1,5	~ ~		18	78	13	C.C.		1 1	28.7	

Page 14

Table 039A

Q9. PARLY

EAST	1303	373	3883	25.5
SOUTH-	100	394	498	2,50
NORTH COAST	36	\$ <b>9</b> 3	425	345
METTE VALUEY	130%	434	394	285
COUNTY	258 3008	125	323	65
DND/ OTHER/ HOME	1000		l p	2005
REP	221	1 1	221 1008	11
DEM	256 1008	266	. ' '	
PE KALE	306	139	374	G.F.
GENDER KALZ PE KA	200	436	355	208
ŝ	314 1004	158	118	124
AGE 35-54	205	8 4. %	35%	248
18-34	1031	314	39%	318
COPAL	800	266	378	615 1865

INDEPSNOONT OCHER/NONE

REPUBLICAN

DEROCRAT

SO FOR ANY LOSS THEY HAVE DECAUSE OF WILL PREDATION?

	EAST		
i	SOUTS-	T MEST	
AREA :	NOR JE	15400	
-	WILLY-	MELTE COAST W	VALLEY

300	67.6	365	108
1608	448	825	2.1
1006	478	315	228
1000	52,00	3,65	17